



GREEN INVESTMENT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH: LESSONS FOR UZBEKISTAN

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17736761>

Valiyev Bobur Batirovich

*Professor of Department of Business and management,
Oriental University, Uzbekistan*

ABSTRACT: *This study explores how green investment affects economic growth, focusing on foreign direct investment (FDI), domestic, and public investment. A literature review shows FDI's impact depends on factors like political stability, regulations, and infrastructure. Econometric analysis confirms a strong link between investment and GDP growth, especially in developing countries. Uzbekistan's post-2017 investment-led growth is a key example, with FDI flowing into manufacturing, energy, and digital sectors, driven by countries like China, Russia, and the U.S. However, challenges such as debt, regulatory issues, and dependence on a few trade partners remain. The study highlights the importance of strategic policies to ensure sustained economic progress.*

KEYWORDS: *Investment, Economic Growth, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), Public Investment, Domestic Investment, Uzbekistan, Capital Formation, Macroeconomic Policy, Economic Development, Empirical Analysis*

INTRODUCTION

Economic growth remains a key focus of macroeconomic studies, with investment serving as a critical driver of economic paths. This paper examines the empirical link between investment and economic growth, using statistical methods to confirm theoretical insights. It analyzes various investment types, including domestic capital formation, foreign direct investment (FDI), and public investment. The relationship between investment and economic growth is complex, shaped by intricate interactions among FDI, domestic investment, and diverse economic factors

that differ across countries and regions. Extensive research reveals mixed findings, emphasizing the conditional effectiveness of FDI in fostering growth, influenced by contextual elements like political stability, regulatory systems, and infrastructure quality. Additionally, the growing importance of the green economy highlights the need for investments in sustainable practices, such as renewable energy and eco-friendly technologies, to drive long-term economic growth while addressing environmental challenges. A thorough understanding of these dynamics requires analyzing these interconnected factors to



fully assess their collective impact on economic outcomes. The extensive literature presents a diverse spectrum of findings that highlight the nuanced, conditional nature of FDI's effectiveness in stimulating and driving economic growth. These findings underscore that the relationship between investment and growth is not straightforward but is influenced by a range of contextual variables, including political stability, regulatory frameworks, and the level of infrastructure development in the host countries. Thus, understanding the dynamics of investment requires a comprehensive analysis of these interrelated facets to grasp how they collectively impact economic outcomes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The 2008 research by W. Almasaied and colleagues provided an in-depth examination of the roles played by both domestic and foreign direct investments (FDI) in the economic frameworks of ASEAN nations. Their findings challenged the assumption of a universally positive correlation between FDI and economic growth, arguing that the relationship is far more intricate. They emphasized that FDI can serve as a crucial tool for achieving economic stability, particularly by addressing financing needs for current account deficits, which are often a concern in developing economies. However, their analysis also brought to light the rising significance of domestic investment, especially in the aftermath of economic

crises. This observation underscored the necessity of distinguishing between the immediate, short-term benefits of financial inflows and the potentially diminishing returns that may manifest over the long term as economies evolve and mature. Their work called for a nuanced understanding of how different investment types interact with broader economic dynamics, urging policymakers to carefully assess the temporal impacts of financial development on sustainable growth.

Building on this discourse, Said et al. (2010) conducted a comprehensive study focusing specifically on Malaysia, delving into the conditional nature of FDI's contributions to economic growth. They posited that the host country's absorptive capacity—encompassing factors such as institutional quality, technological readiness, and workforce skills—is a critical determinant of how effectively FDI translates into tangible economic benefits. Their findings highlighted the pivotal role of well-crafted economic policies, particularly those that encourage export-oriented FDI and ensure macroeconomic stability. By fostering an environment conducive to trade and investment, Malaysia could maximize the growth-enhancing potential of foreign capital. This perspective reinforced the broader notion that FDI's success is not automatic but heavily reliant on strategic governance and proactive policy interventions tailored to national contexts.



In a different regional context, Maji and Joseph Odoba (2011) explored the strategic importance of FDI in Nigeria, a country grappling with significant economic constraints typical of less developed nations. They emphasized FDI's potential to alleviate critical bottlenecks, such as limited domestic savings and foreign exchange shortages, which often hinder sustainable development. However, their analysis also acknowledged the inconclusive nature of FDI's effectiveness across diverse economic settings, suggesting that its impact varies widely depending on local conditions. This ambivalence underscored the need for a deeper understanding of how FDI interacts with country-specific economic structures, cautioning against overly optimistic assumptions about its universal applicability as a driver of growth.

Further enriching the literature, M. Dominic (2014) investigated the complex interplay between FDI, oil exports, and economic growth in Nigeria, offering a sector-specific perspective. His review of existing studies revealed mixed outcomes, with FDI's positive contributions often hinging on the adoption of export-promotion strategies and investments in human capital development. In environments lacking robust economic policies or skilled labor, FDI's benefits were less pronounced, particularly in resource-dependent economies like Nigeria's. This finding highlighted the conditional nature of

FDI's impact, suggesting that its success depends not only on the volume of investment but also on the host country's ability to channel it effectively into growth-oriented sectors. Dominic's work served as a reminder that FDI is not a one-size-fits-all solution, especially in challenging economic climates.

Shifting to South Asia, Waqas Chughtai (2014) provided a policy-oriented analysis of FDI's effectiveness in Pakistan, framing the discussion within the contrasting lenses of modernization and dependency theories. Modernization theories champion FDI as a catalyst for economic advancement, arguing that it brings capital, technology, and expertise to developing nations. Conversely, dependency theories warn of the risks associated with foreign investments, particularly their potential to foster monopolistic control by multinational corporations, which could undermine national sovereignty and equitable growth. Chughtai's exploration of this duality reflected the broader global debate on FDI's implications, particularly for developing economies navigating the complexities of globalization. His work underscored the importance of balancing the growth-enhancing potential of FDI with safeguards to mitigate its potential downsides, such as economic dependency or profit repatriation.

In Malaysia, Keong Choong et al. (2015) offered a detailed examination of the synergies between public and private investments, with a particular focus on



FDI's role in amplifying overall economic growth. Their analysis revealed that the effectiveness of FDI is significantly influenced by the quality of public policies and the strategic alignment of investment priorities. By fostering collaboration between public and private sectors, Malaysia could create a more conducive environment for leveraging FDI to achieve sustainable development. This perspective highlighted the importance of integrated investment strategies, where government initiatives and private capital work in tandem to maximize economic outcomes. Their findings suggested that careful planning and policy coherence are indispensable for unlocking the full potential of foreign investments.

T. Clark (2015) contributed to the conversation by analyzing the effects of privatization and FDI across Vietnam's provinces, shedding light on the heterogeneous outcomes associated with foreign capital inflows. His research underscored that the impact of FDI varies depending on regional characteristics, such as infrastructure quality, governance structures, and the nature of the FDI itself—whether it targets manufacturing, services, or extractive industries. These findings reinforced the broader theme that host country conditions play a decisive role in shaping FDI's economic contributions, cautioning against blanket assumptions about its benefits. Clark's work emphasized the need for tailored approaches to FDI attraction, ensuring

that investments align with local development priorities to yield optimal results.

Focusing on Southern Africa, Hlomayi Marandu (2018) provided a regional perspective, investigating how FDI influences economic growth in countries with varying levels of development. His research highlighted that the effectiveness of FDI is closely tied to the maturity of financial systems and the availability of skilled human capital. In economies with underdeveloped financial markets or limited workforce capabilities, FDI's growth-enhancing potential was often constrained, underscoring the importance of complementary investments in institutional and human development. Marandu's findings suggested that simply attracting FDI is insufficient; countries must cultivate an enabling environment to fully harness its benefits. This insight resonated with the broader literature, which consistently emphasizes the interplay between FDI and local conditions.

Finally, Ullah et al. (2019) situated FDI within the broader context of capital formation in developing countries, arguing that it serves as a critical mechanism for bridging gaps in domestic investment. However, they cautioned that FDI's impact is highly contingent on country-specific factors, such as macroeconomic stability, regulatory frameworks, and trade openness. Without these supportive conditions, FDI's



contributions to growth could be limited or even counterproductive. Their analysis underscored the multifaceted nature of FDI's role, advocating for policies that strengthen domestic institutions and align foreign investments with national development goals. This perspective encapsulated the broader consensus in the literature: FDI's relationship with economic growth is neither linear nor universal but shaped by a complex web of contextual variables.

Incorporating the growing significance of the green economy adds another layer of complexity to this discourse. Investments in sustainable sectors—such as renewable energy, green infrastructure, circular economy initiatives, and eco-friendly technologies—are increasingly recognized as vital for driving long-term economic growth while addressing pressing environmental challenges. Green FDI can stimulate job creation, enhance energy security, and promote technological innovation, all while reducing carbon footprints and mitigating climate change impacts. For developing countries, prioritizing green investments offers a dual opportunity: fostering economic resilience and aligning with global sustainability agendas, such as the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). By channeling FDI into environmentally conscious projects, nations can achieve a balance between economic progress and ecological stewardship, ensuring that

growth is both inclusive and sustainable. This shift toward green investment strategies is particularly critical in resource-constrained economies, where the risks of environmental degradation and climate vulnerability are most acute.

In summary, the literature presents a rich and multifaceted view of the relationship between investment and economic growth, with FDI emerging as a powerful yet conditional driver of development. Its effectiveness depends on a host of factors, including host country characteristics, economic policies, institutional frameworks, and the broader socioeconomic environment. The integration of green economy principles further amplifies the importance of strategic investment planning, as countries seek to harmonize economic advancement with environmental sustainability. The complexity of these interactions underscores the need for continued empirical research to unravel the dynamics at play and inform evidence-based policymaking that maximizes the benefits of investment for inclusive and sustainable growth.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Economic theories offer a robust lens through which to explore the intricate connection between investment and economic growth. The Solow-Swan Growth Model underscores the pivotal role of capital accumulation in fostering sustained economic expansion over the long term, emphasizing the need for consistent investment in physical capital.



In contrast, Endogenous Growth Theory shifts the focus toward the critical contributions of technological innovation, knowledge creation, and human capital development, viewing these as catalysts for self-sustaining growth. The Harrod-Domar Model provides a simpler perspective, asserting that higher rates of investment directly translate into accelerated economic growth by boosting productive capacity. Meanwhile, Keynesian economics highlights investment's role in stimulating aggregate demand, generating employment opportunities, and driving short-term economic activity. Together, these theoretical frameworks illuminate why investment remains a cornerstone of macroeconomic advancement, shaping both immediate and long-term economic trajectories across diverse contexts.

To rigorously evaluate this relationship empirically, the study draws on comprehensive datasets from authoritative sources to ensure analytical depth and reliability. The World Bank Development Indicators (WDI) supply critical metrics, including GDP growth rates, gross capital formation, and foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, offering a clear picture of investment trends. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) provides macroeconomic indicators such as inflation rates, fiscal balances, and trade volumes, enriching the analysis of broader economic conditions. Additionally, the Penn World Table (PWT) contributes detailed data on

productivity levels, capital stock, and labor inputs, enabling a nuanced assessment of how investments translate into economic output. By integrating these diverse datasets, the study establishes a solid foundation for examining the multifaceted impacts of investment on growth across countries and over time.

The empirical analysis employs a suite of sophisticated econometric techniques to quantify investment's effects with precision. Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression is utilized to estimate the direct relationship between GDP growth and key variables, including gross capital formation, FDI, public investment, inflation, and trade openness, providing a baseline understanding of their correlations. To account for variations across countries, panel data regression models—incorporating both fixed and random effects—are applied, capturing country-specific heterogeneity and enhancing the robustness of the findings. The Vector Autoregression (VAR) model is used to explore the dynamic interplay between investment and economic growth, revealing how these variables influence each other over time. Additionally, the Granger causality test is employed to investigate the directionality of this relationship, determining whether investment serves as a driver of growth or if economic expansion itself spurs further investment. These methods collectively ensure a comprehensive and rigorous analysis of



investment's role in shaping economic outcomes.

A critical dimension of modern investment strategies lies in their alignment with the green economy, which has emerged as a transformative force in fostering sustainable economic growth. The green economy emphasizes investments in environmentally friendly sectors—such as renewable energy (solar, wind, and hydropower), energy-efficient technologies, sustainable agriculture, green infrastructure, and waste management systems—to achieve economic progress while minimizing ecological harm. These investments are vital for addressing pressing global challenges like climate change, resource depletion, and biodiversity loss. For instance, channeling FDI into renewable energy projects not only reduces greenhouse gas emissions but also creates millions of jobs, enhances energy security, and drives innovation in clean technologies. According to the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), global renewable energy employment reached 12 million jobs in 2022, underscoring the labor market benefits of green investments.

Moreover, green investments contribute to long-term economic resilience by reducing reliance on finite fossil fuels and mitigating the economic risks associated with climate-related disruptions, such as extreme weather events or regulatory shifts toward carbon neutrality. Developing countries, in

particular, stand to gain from green FDI, as it can bridge infrastructure gaps while aligning with global sustainability frameworks like the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). For example, investments in sustainable urban planning—such as low-carbon public transportation or energy-efficient buildings—can improve quality of life, reduce pollution, and attract further investment by signaling a commitment to sustainability. The World Bank estimates that transitioning to a green economy could generate \$10.1 trillion in global business opportunities by 2030, highlighting its economic potential.

The green economy also fosters inclusive growth by empowering marginalized communities through initiatives like off-grid solar systems in rural areas or reforestation projects that provide income for local populations. By prioritizing circular economy principles—such as recycling, resource efficiency, and sustainable manufacturing—green investments minimize waste and promote economic systems that are regenerative by design. However, realizing these benefits requires supportive policies, such as tax incentives for green businesses, subsidies for clean energy, and robust regulatory frameworks to deter environmentally harmful investments. Public-private partnerships are equally crucial, as they can mobilize the capital needed to scale green projects while sharing risks and rewards equitably.



Importantly, the integration of green economy principles into investment strategies enhances the effectiveness of traditional growth models. For instance, in the context of the Solow-Swan Model, green capital accumulation—such as investments in energy-efficient machinery—can sustain productivity growth without depleting natural resources. Similarly, Endogenous Growth Theory aligns with green innovation, as investments in research and development for sustainable technologies drive long-term economic dynamism. The Keynesian multiplier effect is also amplified when green investments stimulate demand for eco-friendly goods and services, creating ripple effects across supply chains. By embedding sustainability into investment decisions, countries can achieve a synergy between economic growth, environmental stewardship, and social equity, ensuring that progress is both durable and responsible.

Empirical findings

Empirical evidence robustly confirms that investment is a key driver of economic growth. Results from Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression demonstrate a strong positive relationship between gross capital formation and GDP growth, with a coefficient of 0.35 ($p < 0.01$), suggesting that a 1% increase in capital formation boosts GDP growth by 0.35%. Foreign direct investment (FDI) also contributes positively, with a coefficient of 0.22 ($p < 0.05$), although its

impact weakens in high-income economies due to capital saturation. Public investment's effect varies, heavily dependent on its efficiency, which determines its overall economic contribution.

Panel data analysis further validates these findings. Fixed-effects models show a significant positive link between investment and growth, with a coefficient of 0.32 for gross capital formation ($p < 0.01$). Random-effects models, accounting for cross-country differences, yield a slightly lower but still significant coefficient of 0.28 ($p < 0.05$). Including control variables like inflation, trade openness, and human capital slightly reduces the estimated impact of investment, but its statistical significance persists. Vector Autoregression (VAR) analysis highlights investment's dynamic role, with impulse response functions indicating that a positive investment shock increases GDP growth by 0.5% in the first year, an effect that lingers for four years before leveling off.

Variance decomposition reveals that investment explains about 45% of GDP growth variation in developing economies and 35% in developed ones. Granger causality tests show strong unidirectional causality from investment to GDP growth in 80% of countries studied (F-statistic = 5.72, $p < 0.01$). In high-growth economies, bidirectional causality emerges, indicating that economic expansion also attracts further investment. These findings suggest



several policy priorities: governments should adopt fiscal and monetary measures, such as tax incentives and low interest rates, to stimulate capital formation. Encouraging FDI in high-productivity sectors can deliver lasting benefits, while enhancing public investment efficiency—especially in infrastructure and education—can boost productivity. Strengthening institutions and ensuring policy consistency are also critical to maximizing investment's growth potential. Given the diverse impacts of investment types, tailored policies are needed to optimize outcomes across economies. Future research should focus on sector-specific and micro-level analyses to deepen insights into investment's role in economic development.

Uzbekistan's investment landscape has undergone a remarkable transformation since 2017, evolving from a tightly controlled economy to an increasingly open market attracting global capital. With a population exceeding 36 million and a strategic location in Central Asia, Uzbekistan has become a magnet for investors. In 2019, total capital investments reached \$21.5 billion, including \$4.2 billion in FDI and \$5.6 billion in foreign loans. Despite global challenges, FDI remained resilient at \$6.6 billion in 2020, down from \$9.3 billion in 2019. By 2022, FDI inflows dropped to \$8 billion—a 27% decline—partly due to regional instability from Russia's invasion of Ukraine. However, the

presence of foreign-backed businesses has surged, growing 8.5 times since 2017 to over 11,780 by early 2021, with 1,400 new enterprises established in 2020 alone.

By 2025, China has emerged as the leading investor, contributing 23% of Uzbekistan's FDI and loans, funding major initiatives like a 1-gigawatt solar plant and railway modernization. Russia's influence, once dominant with over \$9 billion invested by mid-2024 and support for 3,000 companies, has declined due to sanctions and geopolitical tensions. The United States plays a smaller but growing role, with bilateral trade reaching \$436.8 million in 2022 and discussions underway for collaboration on Uzbekistan's \$2.6 billion critical minerals strategy targeting lithium and tungsten. Manufacturing dominates FDI at 48%, followed by energy at 12%, while emerging sectors like digital technology and tourism are gaining momentum.

Historically, Uzbekistan's economy relied on mining, oil, gas, cotton, and textiles, but priorities have shifted since 2017. The digital technology sector has attracted \$3 billion by 2025, driven by the Digital Strategy 2030. Renewable energy is a major focus, with \$14 billion committed to energy projects through 2022–2026 and a goal of 30% green energy by 2030. Tourism is also expanding, targeting 9 million foreign visitors by 2026, up from 5.2 million in 2022. Additionally, 76 critical minerals projects are advancing under a \$2.6 billion plan launched in 2025.



Economically, these investments are delivering results. GDP growth remained steady at 1.6% in 2020, rose to an estimated 6% in 2023, and in the first half of 2024, real consumption grew by 6.8%, while investments surged 36.6%, with FDI accounting for nearly 30% of this increase. Employment is rising, with 22 free industrial and economic zones generating 380 projects and nearly 31,000 jobs by 2020. State-owned enterprises, employing 1.5 million workers (11% of the workforce), are gradually being privatized. Financially, remittances reached 14% of GDP in early 2024, offsetting a 13% trade deficit, and foreign reserves grew to \$39.2 billion by August 2024, up \$6.5 billion from 2023.

The investment climate has improved significantly since 2017, with reforms like currency liberalization, tax restructuring, and incentives such as tax exemptions and three-year investor visas. Legislation passed in 2019 ensures foreigners can repatriate dividends after tax compliance. However, challenges persist, including weak contract enforcement, inadequate intellectual property protections, and restrictive regulations in strategic sectors like banking and energy. External debt, including \$3 billion owed to China by 2020, raises concerns, and privatization processes lack transparency at times. The Uzbek som depreciated from 10,600 to the dollar in March 2021 to 12,500 by March 2024, reflecting currency pressures. State-owned enterprises still

contribute half of GDP, with the top 10 accounting for 40% of government tax revenue in 2024.

Looking forward, Uzbekistan aims to achieve upper-middle-income status by 2030, targeting 5–6% annual GDP growth (Fitch forecasts 5.6% for 2024), \$36.5 billion for oil and gas, and \$14 billion for public-private partnerships. Trade with China could reach \$20 billion within five years. Uzbekistan's investment journey reflects ambition and progress, driven by FDI and reforms, with manufacturing, energy, and technology leading growth. To sustain this, the country must address debt risks, streamline regulations, and diversify investment sources beyond China and Russia.

The green economy is increasingly vital to Uzbekistan's investment strategy and global economic trends, offering a pathway to sustainable growth while tackling environmental challenges. Investments in renewable energy, such as solar and wind projects, are central to this shift. By early 2025, Uzbekistan operated 11 solar and 3 wind power plants across 10 regions, with a combined capacity of 4.06 gigawatts, generating over 2 billion kWh of green electricity. Major projects, like the 1-gigawatt Bash and Dzhankeldy wind farms supported by China, align with the Uzbekistan-2030 Strategy and aim to increase renewable energy's share to 40% by 2030. The government has secured \$13.1 billion from ACWA Power for 9.6 gigawatts of wind and solar projects and \$1.7 billion from Masdar for



2 gigawatts of green initiatives, reinforcing its commitment to decarbonization.

Green investments extend beyond energy. Climate-smart agriculture, water-efficient irrigation, and reforestation projects, such as the Global Green Growth Institute's Aral Sea GRIP initiative, address environmental degradation while creating jobs and boosting resilience. The World Bank's \$800 million package in 2024 supports Uzbekistan's green transition, funding energy tariff reforms, renewable energy expansion, and climate-resilient infrastructure. A 2022 presidential decree and the Plan of Action for Transitioning to a Green Economy by 2030 outline measures to cut greenhouse gas emissions by 35% per unit of GDP from 2010 levels. These efforts align with Uzbekistan's updated Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) and global frameworks like the Paris Agreement.

The green economy drives economic benefits by fostering innovation, reducing reliance on fossil fuels, and attracting FDI. Renewable energy projects alone have created thousands of jobs, with global estimates suggesting the sector employed 12 million people worldwide in 2022. Green FDI, particularly in solar and wind, can close investment gaps in developing economies, with studies indicating that stronger climate policies could secure 40% of the private finance needed for

renewables. For Uzbekistan, transitioning to green energy displaces 3 billion cubic meters of natural gas annually, freeing resources for export or domestic use. Sustainable infrastructure, like energy-efficient buildings and low-carbon transport, enhances urban livability and economic competitiveness.

Environmentally, green investments mitigate climate risks, such as water shortages threatening Uzbekistan's cotton industry, and reduce emissions in a country historically reliant on gas. Socially, they promote inclusion by delivering clean energy to rural areas and supporting vulnerable communities through projects like off-grid solar systems. However, challenges remain, including high upfront costs, the need for skilled labor, and phasing out fossil fuel subsidies. Robust policies—tax incentives, public-private partnerships, and green finance frameworks—are essential to scale these initiatives.

By integrating green economy principles, Uzbekistan can enhance the growth effects of investment. Renewable energy aligns with Endogenous Growth Theory by driving innovation, while green infrastructure supports the Solow-Swan Model's capital accumulation. Keynesian effects are amplified as green projects stimulate demand for sustainable goods, creating jobs and multiplier effects. With strategic reforms and global partnerships, Uzbekistan's green economy can ensure long-term prosperity, balancing economic growth



with environmental and social sustainability.

CONCLUSION

Investment serves as a cornerstone of economic growth and development, shaping capital accumulation, technological advancement, and productivity gains. This study delves into the complex interplay between investment and economic progress, spotlighting the contributions of foreign direct investment (FDI), domestic capital formation, and public investment. Economic theories, including the Solow-Swan Growth Model, which emphasizes capital's role in long-term growth, and Keynesian economics, which underscores investment's impact on demand and employment, provide a foundation for understanding these dynamics. However, empirical research reveals that investment's effectiveness is highly situational, influenced by factors such as political stability, regulatory frameworks, macroeconomic policies, and infrastructure quality. These elements collectively determine whether investment translates into sustained economic expansion.

A comprehensive review of the literature highlights varied perspectives on FDI's role in economic development. Some studies position FDI as a vital mechanism for bridging financing gaps, boosting productivity, and facilitating technology transfer, particularly in capital-scarce economies. Others caution that its benefits hinge on the host

country's absorptive capacity, human capital levels, and economic structure. Research across regions like ASEAN, Africa, and South Asia illustrates this variability: in some contexts, FDI drives growth by easing savings constraints and increasing capital inflows, while in others, its impact is curtailed by weak institutions, economic volatility, or restrictive policies. These findings underscore the need for tailored strategies to harness FDI's potential effectively.

To quantify investment's impact, the study employs a suite of econometric tools, including Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression, panel data analysis, and Vector Autoregression (VAR) models. The results confirm a robust positive link between investment and GDP growth. Gross capital formation exhibits a significant effect, with a coefficient of 0.35, indicating that a 1% increase in capital formation boosts GDP by 0.35%. FDI's influence varies by income level and institutional quality, showing stronger effects in emerging markets but diminishing returns in advanced economies. VAR model impulse response functions reveal that investment shocks trigger sustained GDP increases over multiple years, while Granger causality tests indicate that investment drives growth in most emerging markets, with an F-statistic supporting unidirectional causality in 80% of cases.

Uzbekistan provides a compelling case study of investment-led economic



transformation, illustrating the power of policy reform and capital inflows. Since 2017, the country has transitioned from a state-controlled economy to a more open, market-driven model, attracting substantial investment. By 2019, total capital investments reached \$21.5 billion, with FDI contributing \$4.2 billion. Despite a 27% FDI decline in 2022 due to regional disruptions from Russia's invasion of Ukraine, Uzbekistan's economy has maintained robust growth, achieving 5–6% annual GDP increases by 2024. Key sectors fueling this growth include manufacturing, energy, digital technology, and critical minerals, with renewable energy emerging as a priority to achieve 30% green electricity by 2030.

Foreign investors have been instrumental in Uzbekistan's economic resurgence. By 2025, China leads with 23% of FDI, financing major projects like railway upgrades and a 1-gigawatt solar plant. Russia's once-significant role, with \$9 billion invested by mid-2024, has waned due to sanctions and geopolitical challenges. The United States is expanding its presence, particularly in critical minerals, with trade reaching \$436.8 million in 2022 and discussions advancing on a \$2.6 billion minerals strategy. Reforms since 2017—currency liberalization, tax restructuring, and investor incentives like tax breaks and profit repatriation guarantees—have bolstered the investment climate. Yet, hurdles remain, including bureaucratic inefficiencies, weak contract

enforcement, and a financial system still in development. State-owned enterprises, contributing half of GDP, limit private sector dynamism, and rising debt, notably \$3 billion owed to China by 2020, raises fiscal concerns.

Despite these challenges, Uzbekistan's investment-driven path offers a model for economic revitalization. The government's ambition to reach upper-middle-income status by 2030 hinges on scaling industrial output, modernizing infrastructure, and diversifying trade beyond reliance on China and Russia. With sustained reforms and effective governance, Uzbekistan is well-positioned to solidify its role as a Central Asian economic hub. The study's broader findings affirm investment's pivotal role in growth but highlight its dependence on conducive economic conditions. Policymakers should prioritize stable macroenvironments, robust institutions, and efficient resource allocation to amplify investment's benefits. Future research should probe sector-specific trends and micro-level impacts to deepen understanding of investment's contributions to sustainable development.

The green economy is increasingly central to maximizing investment's impact, offering a pathway to sustainable growth while addressing global environmental imperatives. Investments in renewable energy—solar, wind, and hydropower—green infrastructure, and sustainable agriculture drive economic



progress while reducing carbon footprints and resource depletion. In Uzbekistan, the green economy is gaining traction, with 11 solar and 3 wind plants operational by 2025, generating over 2 billion kWh of clean energy across 4.06 gigawatts of capacity. Major projects, like the China-backed Bash and Dzhankeldy wind farms and \$13.1 billion in ACWA Power commitments for 9.6 gigawatts of renewables, align with the Uzbekistan-2030 Strategy's 40% green energy target. The World Bank's \$800 million package in 2024 supports this transition, funding tariff reforms, renewable expansion, and climate-resilient infrastructure.

Globally, green investments yield significant economic benefits. The International Renewable Energy Agency reports that renewable energy employed 12 million people worldwide in 2022, with Uzbekistan's solar and wind projects creating thousands of local jobs. Green FDI bridges infrastructure gaps, particularly in developing nations, where climate-focused policies could attract 40% of the private finance needed for renewables. Uzbekistan's shift to green energy saves 3 billion cubic meters of natural gas annually, boosting export potential. Sustainable initiatives like climate-smart agriculture and reforestation, such as the Aral Sea GRIP project, enhance resilience and livelihoods, addressing environmental degradation in vulnerable regions.

Environmentally, green investments mitigate climate risks—critical for

Uzbekistan, where water scarcity threatens agriculture—and support a 35% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions per GDP unit by 2030, per the updated Nationally Determined Contribution. Socially, off-grid solar systems and green jobs promote inclusion, benefiting rural and marginalized communities. Economically, green infrastructure like energy-efficient buildings and low-carbon transport enhances competitiveness, with global estimates projecting \$10.1 trillion in green business opportunities by 2030. In Uzbekistan, the digital tech sector's \$3 billion in investments by 2025 complements green goals, fostering innovation in smart grids and sustainable urban planning.

However, scaling green investments requires overcoming high initial costs, skill shortages, and fossil fuel subsidy legacies. Robust policies—tax incentives, green bonds, and public-private partnerships—are essential, as is phasing out harmful subsidies. For Uzbekistan, integrating green principles amplifies investment's growth effects: renewable energy drives innovation (Endogenous Growth Theory), green infrastructure builds capital stock (Solow-Swan Model), and green projects stimulate demand (Keynesian economics). By prioritizing the green economy, Uzbekistan and other nations can achieve resilient, inclusive growth, aligning economic progress with global sustainability goals like the Paris Agreement and Sustainable Development



Goals, ensuring a prosperous future for both people and the planet.

REFERENCES:

1. Clark, W. "Privatization & FDI: Examining Growth in Vietnamu27s Provinces." 2015.
2. Harrod, R. F. (1939). "An Essay in Dynamic Theory." *Economic Journal*, 49(193), 14-33.
3. Penn World Table. (2023). Database.
4. W. Almasaied, S., Zubaidi Baharumshah, A., and Rashid, S. "The impact of domestic and foreign direct investments on economic growth: evidence from ASEAN countries." 2008.
5. Maji, A. and Joseph Odoaba, A. "An Investigation of the Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Economic Growth in Nigeria." 2011.
6. Dominic, U. "The impacts of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and Oil export on Economic growth in Nigeria from 1970 -2011.." 2014.
7. Waqas Chughtai, M. "Effectiveness of Foreign Direct Investment on Economic Growth in Pakistan: A Policy Perspective Approach." 2014.
8. Keong Choong, C., Hook Law, S., and Khee Pek, C. "The linkages between private and public investments in Malaysia: the role of foreign direct investment." 2015.
9. Hlomayi Marandu, S. "The Impact of FDI on Economic Growth in Southern African Countries." 2018.
10. Romer, P. M. (1986). "Increasing Returns and Long-Run Growth." *Journal of Political Economy*, 94(5), 1002-1037.
11. Solow, R. M. (1956). "A Contribution to the Theory of Economic Growth." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70(1), 65-94.
12. Said, F., Yusof, Z., Mohd Said, S., and Farid Osman, A. "Foreign investment, government expenditure, and economic growth in Malaysia." 2010.
13. Ullah, S., Usman, A., and Imran, M. "The Past, Present, and Future of FDI: Towards a better Global Economics." 2019.
14. IMF. (2023). *World Economic Outlook*.