



THE FUTURE OF WORK: HUMANS, AI, AND HYBRID JOBS

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17557439>

Gulnoza Xusanova Akram qizi

*Student of the International Business in Tourism and Hospitality Faculty
Management Development Institute of Singapore in Tashkent*

ABSTRACT: *The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) and automation technologies is reshaping the global workforce, ushering in a new era where traditional job roles are being redefined. This article explores the evolving landscape of work in the age of AI, focusing on the emergence of hybrid jobs—roles that combine human cognitive skills with machine capabilities. It examines how AI is not simply replacing human labor but augmenting it, enabling workers to focus on higher-value tasks that require creativity, empathy, and complex decision-making. The article also addresses the challenges of workforce reskilling, the ethical considerations surrounding AI integration, and the shifting expectations of employers and employees alike. By analyzing trends across various industries, this piece highlights the opportunities and risks involved in the transition to a hybrid workforce and outlines strategic approaches for individuals, organizations, and policymakers to thrive in this changing environment.*

KEYWORDS: *Future of Work, Artificial Intelligence, Hybrid Jobs, Human-AI Collaboration, Automation, Workforce Transformation, Reskilling, Job Augmentation, Digital Economy, Employment Trends, Human-Machine Interaction, AI Ethics, Labor Market, Technological Disruption, Machine Learning, Digital Transformation, Smart Technologies, Fourth Industrial Revolution, Cognitive Computing, Labor Market Evolution, Innovation and Productivity, Future-Proof Careers, Adaptive Workforces, AI Governance.*

INTRODUCTION

The world we live in today feels in many ways like a Wonderland better illustrated as a dream-like world, like the one described by the British mathematician Charles Lutwidge Dodgson, better known as Lewis Carroll, described in his famous novels (Haenlein & Kaplan, 2019).

Image recognition, smart speakers, and self-driving cars— are all possible

due to the advances in AI, defined as “the ability of a system to correctly interpret external data, learn from that data, and use what it learns to achieve specific goals and tasks through flexible adaptation”. AI was founded as an academic discipline in the 1950s, but for more than half a century it remained a field of relative scientific insignificance and limited practical interest.



Today, due to the use of Big Data and improved computing power, AI has entered the business world and public discussion. AI can be divided into analytic, human-inspired, and humanized AI, depending on the type of intelligence (cognitive, emotional, and social intelligence), or into artificial narrow intelligence, artificial general intelligence, and superintelligence, this all depends on the stage of development. A British science fiction writer named Arthur Clarke once said, “Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic, but when you understand the technology, the magic disappears.

Since the 1950s, experts have periodically predicted that it will be only a few years before we achieve artificial general intelligence — systems that exhibit behavior indistinguishable from humans in all aspects and possess cognitive, emotional, and social intelligence (Haenlein & Kaplan, 2019). AI was influenced by many ideas from many disciplines during its formative years (Buchanan, 2005). They came from people who worked in technology (Norbert Wiener’s work on cybernetics involving feedback and control), biology (W. Ross Ashby and Warren McCulloch, and Walter Pitts’ work on neural networks in simple organisms), experimental psychology (Newell & Simon, 1972), communication theory (the theoretical work of Claude Shannon), game theory (especially by John Von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern),

mathematics and statistics (Irving J. Good), logic and philosophy (Alan Turing, Alonzo Church, and Carl Hempel), and linguistics (Noam Chomsky’s work on grammar). AI, however, has borrowed much from them, has outgrown them, and in turn has occasionally influenced them (Buchanan, 2005).

The purpose of this article is to provide an in-depth analysis of the evolving landscape of work in the age of artificial intelligence, with a specific focus on the emergence and significance of hybrid jobs—roles that blend human skills with machine capabilities. As AI continues to disrupt traditional industries and automate routine tasks, this article seeks to examine how the workforce is being redefined, not by the replacement of humans, but by the integration of intelligent technologies into existing roles and the creation of entirely new ones.

This exploration aims to illuminate how human strengths—such as emotional intelligence, creativity, ethical judgment, adaptability, and complex problem-solving—remain essential and are being increasingly augmented by AI tools that offer speed, scale, and data-driven insights. By analyzing real-world examples, labor market trends, and expert predictions, the article aspires to equip readers with a comprehensive understanding of how to prepare for the future of work.

Furthermore, the article will address the implications of this shift for education, professional development,



organizational structure, and policy-making. It seeks to encourage proactive adaptation, highlight the skills and mindsets needed to succeed in hybrid roles, and foster dialogue around ethical, inclusive, and human-centered approaches to AI integration in the workplace.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Automation and Job Replacement

The future of work is undergoing a profound transformation driven by rapid advances in artificial intelligence (AI), automation, and digital technologies. These developments are reshaping industries, redefining job roles, and challenging traditional notions of employment. While early debates around AI focused on job displacement and the threat of mass unemployment, contemporary discussions have shifted toward a more nuanced understanding: rather than replacing human workers entirely, AI is increasingly being used to augment human capabilities, giving rise to a new category of employment known as hybrid jobs.

Hybrid jobs are roles that blend technical proficiency—such as data literacy, automation tools, and AI integration—with human-centric skills like creativity, emotional intelligence, problem-solving, and ethical decision-making. These roles are becoming essential in a world where neither machines nor humans can work effectively in isolation. As AI systems take over repetitive, data-driven tasks, the uniquely human elements of work—like

empathy, critical thinking, and innovation—are becoming more valuable than ever.

This topic is important because it not only addresses the changing nature of work itself but also touches on broader societal and economic implications. Understanding the rise of hybrid jobs can help educators reform curricula, guide workers in reskilling efforts, assist businesses in workforce planning, and inform policymakers aiming to ensure an inclusive and equitable transition into the AI-driven economy. By critically examining existing research, this literature review aims to map current knowledge, highlight emerging trends, and identify gaps that must be addressed to prepare for a collaborative future between humans and machines. Many predict that the fourth industrial revolution, characterized by such technologies as cyber-physical systems, robotics, artificial intelligence, and machine learning, will eventually affect societies, economies, industries, and daily living, either dramatically or marginally. A rising number of future projections are focused on the substantial replacement of labor by artificial intelligence, robots, and automation. Jobs that consist of routine processes and do not require creativity or humanity are expected to disappear (Schwab, 2016) and the nature of the work and occupations remaining in the future is expected to change fundamentally (Arntz, Gregory, & Zierahn, 2016; Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014; Ford, 2015; Frey & Osborne, 2017;



Hirschi, 2018). While some predict that automation will eliminate half of the U.S. labor force (e.g., Frey & Osborne, 2017), others expect that new occupations, industries, and ways of working will emerge (e.g., Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

Indeed, the trajectory of the industrial revolution thus far—from the first (mechanization using steam power) to the second (mass production using electricity) to the third (computerization)—has been characterized by the substitution of manual labor with machines. Thus, massive changes in the labor force are expected in the transition to the fourth industrial revolution

In much recent literature on attitudes about technology-driven changes, especially changes brought about by the use of information communication technologies (ICTs) and the Internet, attitudes have spanned the extremes of optimistic enthusiasm (utopia) and pessimistic concern (dystopia). Contemporary cultures offer contradictory views on emerging technologies at the two extremes (Yar, 2014).

Robotic automation, a key component of the fourth industrial revolution, has also gained attention from scholars, who have taken stances ranging between optimism and pessimism. Individual attitudes about vast technology-driven change such as robotic automation need to be discussed from various viewpoints with respect to new

technologies, especially ICTs. This section unravels the negative aspects as seen from sociotechnical views, political views, and economic-industrial views. Sociotechnical views consider the social influence of technological developments largely from the following three perspectives: descriptive determinism, normative determinism, and voluntarism. These views have served as an umbrella over debates about technology-driven changes.

Descriptive determinism considers technological developments as autonomous and necessary (Swierstra, 2015). This position is justified by market-driven competition (the first developer or user of technologies dominates the market and wins larger shares). Normative determinism claims that society should not steer technological progress, but rather only decide where and how scientific findings are applicable. In contrast, voluntarism argues that social factors constantly affect technological development. This view is related to political perspectives because it pertains to who is influencing policy, who should ideally do what, and who decides what (Morozov, 2014; Winner, 1980). In practice, discussions about such issues tackle how to avoid harmful technologies and develop worthwhile technologies (Stilgoe, Owen, & Macnaghten, 2013; Von Schomberg, 2013). Techno-determinism provides arguments for optimism or pessimism based on technology-driven changes, while



voluntarism accounts for the pivotal influence of social forces.

The Rise of AI-Augmented Work

In recent years, there has been great concern about Artificial Intelligence's (AI's) effect on employment. Observers have spawned concerns in the general public about which kinds of jobs and skills will be replaced, as well as discussed on how jobs could be transformed, and what new skills would be needed to work in this new 'Age'. Two views have emerged: the 'replacement' and 'augmentation' views.

The research and evidence are usually based on cases and anecdotes, or assumptions that are skewed towards a particular view (e.g. of the technology's potential). Early studies promoted the 'replacement' view by showing that AI had a great potential to reduce employment in many categories (Frey and Osborne, 2017). Recently however, a slate of opinions from industry and business scholars have advocated an augmentation view in which AI complements human work, and in which humans have to upgrade their skills in order to fit in with the emerging work environments (Daugherty and Wilson, 2018; Davenport and Dreyer, 2018). Although both the arguments on replacement and augmentation are based on the technology's potential, they vary on their view of what happens to the work, since the augmentation view sees the core work tasks as being enhanced, while the replacement view sees the core tasks being replaced by automation. In

practice, the technology complements and substitutes for skills, and the net balance depends on how the organization wields the two together. Indeed, Raisch and Krakowski (2020) recently argued for a more nuanced balance between the two perspectives, and on what organizations can do to mitigate the negative effects of AI as they embrace the positive effects.

Our view is that the business and economic perspectives have not been considered as well, and especially the dynamic effects. In this paper, to better understand how work may be replaced or transformed, we explore how AI is being used to enhance the automation of work and of organizations as a whole, and how this may create competitive advantages over time, as well as impacts to selected types of employment. We will examine this with the use of examples from an extreme form of organization that is emerging - the digitally transformed firm - and its use of AI to automate the firm. To start off, in section two, we examine the literature on industrialization and employment. Economic studies show that as automation and information technology (IT) increased productivity, they tend to favor nonroutine skills and jobs, displacing routine work, and leading to shifts in employment structures.

In section three, we develop a basis for understanding how newer AI technologies could displace routinized work. Using recent examples, we illustrate how "digitally transforming" organizations are embedding AI in a broader automation of work. In section 4,



we account for the dynamic effects of this digital transformation on organizational competitiveness.

When prospecting how further dynamics may play out, we consider how the combination of AI with other technologies creates additional capabilities for “digitally transformed” firms. These capabilities can create economies of scale and scope for the organization, and under certain conditions, can lead firms to favor employment structures with lesser amounts of skilled routine work, and more highly technical skilled work (albeit with smaller-sized teams).

Hybrid Jobs: Definition and Characteristics

The demand for remote work has sparked a global discussion about the prospects of implementing remote, at-home, and office work schedules (Surma et al., 2021; Toscano & Zappalà, 2021). Given that it offers both remote and office work possibilities and that both employees and supervisors have learned new skills and competences to accommodate new working practices, hybrid work can be seen as offering the best of both worlds. With the help of information technology, people can work more freely in multi-located, hybrid workspaces by dividing their time across many locations (e.g. home, corporate offices, co-working spaces; Kohont & Ignjatovic, 2022). The perception of hybrid work being the “best of both worlds” implies that hybrid work is a comprehensive workplace solution.

However, it does not factor in an individual’s personality and level of engagement (Babapour Chafi et al., 2022; Eldor & VigodaGadot, 2017). By including an explanatory account in the big five personality model, traits are split into two distinct parts—an explanatory portion and a descriptive part—that may be distinguished from one another and combined to form full traits (Fleeson & Jayawickreme, 2015; Jayawickreme et al., 2019; Prentice et al., 2019). This study examined the need to analyze explanatory traits as well as to determine a comprehensive picture of personality using trait and social aspects. Examining personality traits and social elements that may affect employee engagement provides a rare opportunity to contribute new ideas to study and advance social change in the workplace.

Previous scholars have investigated the subject of personality traits (Choi & Lee, 2014; McAdams & Pals, 2006; McCrae & Costa, 1991; Miller & Lynam, 2001; Peng et al., 2019; Schimpf, 2009; Wille et al., 2013; Zhai et al., 2013). Historically, the goal of personality research has been to pinpoint the fundamental components that make up a person’s personality. Without using external criteria such as social-cognitive elements, research has been concentrated on creating and evaluating structural models of personality (Miller & Lynam, 2001). Whole trait theory (WTT) is a well-liked personality framework that integrates trait and state techniques to



produce a comprehensive picture of a person's personality.

Fleeson and Jayawickreme (2015) research asserts, everyone eventually expresses the whole range of potential personality state levels, but how frequently each level manifests in day-to-day living differs from person to person. The big five personality and HEXACO models are two key personality notions investigated to delve deeper into individual personality features. Work's meaning is a flexible, personal construct and is inextricably linked to the situation's historical, social, psychological, and economic logic (Pitacho et al., 2021). Telework and working from home (WFH) as alternatives to the conventional office setting have been studied, but the particular situation may not be applicable to the preCOVID evidence on the impact of telework on job quality (Kohont & Ignjatović, 2022). Arrangements for working from home are one of the COVID-19 pandemic's most evident consequences on workplace structure due to their widespread and extraordinary adoption (Babapour Chafi et al., 2022).

Many employees participated in an experiment of a full-time WFH situation and a regular style of working for those who had little to no prior experience doing so under normal circumstances (Babapour Chafi et al., 2022; Kohont & Ignjatović, 2022). The choice to choose to work from home rather than being required to does so favorably for motivation and output (Grelle & Popps,

2021). Since work dominates most people's lives, choosing a profession is becoming more reliant on job happiness and possible personal and societal significance, in addition to the financial advantages. Although most people's lives revolve mostly around their jobs, different people associate different psychological meanings with their jobs (Pitacho et al., 2021). As the working environment, paradigm transformations in a world of COVID-19, and technology improvements persist, the notion of employee engagement is chosen for further study because where and how we work is important to the well-being of employees. To improve organizations' mental capital—the workers' resiliency on the cognitive and emotional levels—and hence generate better financial results, departments of human resources and consultants sought out to increase employee engagement (Surma 4 et al., 2021).

Recently, there has been a lot of interest in studies surrounding the unique concept of employee engagement (Akhtar et al., 2015). Employee engagement is referred to as a mental state that is associated with the workplace and is defined by emotions like energy, fulfillment, enthusiasm, absorption, and dedication (Eldor & Vigoda-Gadot, 2017). Keeping a high-performing group of staff on board effectively leads to increased customer satisfaction and because of their surroundings, engaged employees appear to be more likely to



improve their performance (Johnson, 2020).

Human Skills in the Age of AI

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) technology has led to significant changes across various aspects of our lives, including education and the workforce (Ali et al., 2023). The use of AI in education has been shown to enhance the speed of learning and make education more accessible (Devi et al., 2022). However, there is a growing concern about the impact of AI on the development of durable skills, such as communication and empathy, which are critical for success in the workforce (Jaiswal et al., 2022; Singh, 2023; Yu et al., 2023).

Studies have shown that while AI-driven education can increase the speed of learning, it may decrease the development of transferable skills, which has been primarily achieved through traditional student-to-student and student-to-teacher interactions (Slater & Inagawa, 2019; Therisa Beena & Sony, 2022). These skills must now be integrated into online and distance education where learning pathways driven by AI will become increasingly common to shore up deficits in durable skills. Therefore, there is a need to rethink the current education system to prioritize the development of durable skills to ensure students are well-equipped for the future workforce (Buhler et al., 2022). The increasing use of AI technology in various industries, such as marketing, advertising, and legal contracts, has led to concerns about the

potential impact of AI on the job market (Abrardi et al., 2022; Taecharungroj, 2023). According to a report by Goldman Sachs, up to 300 million full-time jobs worldwide, including two-thirds of jobs in the US and Europe, are at risk of being replaced by generative AI technology (Campello de Souza et al., 2023). The report notes that the impact of AI disruption will be felt most deeply in developed countries, where economies support a large number of white-collar jobs that are more prone to automation. However, the same economists remain optimistic, noting that technological advancement that initially displaces human workers has historically also created employment and economic growth over the long term (Autor, 2022).

The need for durable or transferable skills (previously known as “soft skills”) has become increasingly important in the changing job market (Schislyaeva & Saychenko, 2022). The demand for individuals who possess a diverse set of skills, including transferable skills such as problem-solving, critical thinking, and collaboration, is on the rise (Hutson et al., 2022). The importance of these skills is emphasized by the emergence of the concepts of “just-in-time skills” and “expertise on demand” (Kester et al., 2001; McQuillin et al., 2019).

These concepts highlight the need for life-long learning and the ability to acquire new knowledge and skills as needed to respond to the everchanging demands of the job market (Hutson et al., 2023). Therefore, to be successful in the



future of work, workers must be able to effectively integrate AI technology and navigate multiple domains of knowledge (Jaime et al., 2021). Creative generalists who can combine their own diverse skillsets with AI's capabilities will be in high demand (Dykstra & Lasscock, 2021). At the same time, AI will also play a crucial role in supporting these same workers in acquiring just-in-time skills and expertise on demand (Zheng et al., 2022). The ability of AI to access vast amounts of information and learn new skills rapidly makes it a valuable tool in the evolving job market. However, the integration of AI into the workforce must be done in a way that prioritizes the development of durable skills and allows workers to effectively navigate the changing job market (Li, 2022).

The development of durable and transferable skills is crucial for equipping students with the skills necessary to succeed in an increasingly automated world. The literature review shows that incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) into education has the potential to revolutionize the learning experience, but it should be approached with caution. While AI can enhance the technical skills of students, it can also have a detrimental effect on the development of soft skills, such as communication and teamwork. Therefore, it is essential to balance the use of AI with traditional teaching methods to ensure that students acquire both technical and soft skills necessary for their future careers. Several research-based strategies can be leveraged to

integrate durable skills into education effectively. Competency-based learning, project-based learning, experiential learning, service learning, and work-based learning have all shown promise in promoting the development of these skills.

By incorporating these strategies into educational systems, educators can ensure that students are well-prepared for the challenges of the future. This treatment highlights the importance of prioritizing the development of durable and transferable skills and balancing the use of AI with traditional teaching methods. By implementing research-based strategies, educators can equip students with the skills necessary to succeed in an increasingly automated world. The incorporation of these strategies should be a deliberate and continuous process that involves regular evaluation and refinement of the approach.

Exploring the Impact of AI on Job Roles in the Healthcare Industry

The technological advancements of the past decade have positioned artificial intelligence (AI) as a transformative force across various sectors, with particularly significant impacts in the realm of healthcare management. The integration of AI promises to revolutionize healthcare processes, improve patient care, and optimize emergency responses by leveraging complex data to inform rapid, evidence-based decisions. However, despite growing enthusiasm, the adoption of AI in healthcare also



presents unique challenges, necessitating a careful examination of its operational, strategic, and emergency implications. In addition to AI, other emerging technologies, such as augmented reality (AR), have shown potential in optimizing processes and improving outcomes in various sectors, including healthcare and training. For instance, recent studies on AR for corporate training demonstrate its ability to enhance learning outcomes and reduce errors through real-time, immersive experiences. (Marengo et al., 2018).

In the face of widespread health challenges that have put global healthcare systems under intense pressure, AI has offered innovative solutions for managing unprecedented health crises, highlighting the urgency of scientifically assessing its impact. Thus, this study contributes by providing a comprehensive evaluation of AI's impact on healthcare management, focusing on its potential to enhance operational efficiency, strategic decision making, and emergency preparedness. Additionally, this work explores variations in AI's impact based on temporal and geographical contexts, offering valuable insights into how AI can be optimally integrated into diverse healthcare systems. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in the healthcare sector represents one of the most significant technological advancements in recent years, promising to transform healthcare management through process optimization,

improvement in patient care, and effectiveness in emergency responses.

The integration of AI in healthcare improves the quality of medical education and scientific publications, while also enhancing the resilience of healthcare facilities during emergencies. The implementation of AI technologies has been shown to support the reproducibility of scientific findings, a cornerstone for advancing medical knowledge and practices. Furthermore, the development and testing of tools to assess the flexibility and resilience of healthcare infrastructure highlight AI's potential to prepare and adapt healthcare systems for emergency situations.

It is crucial to emphasize the importance of ethical and regulatory oversight in the use of artificial intelligence in the healthcare sector, to ensure that AI applications are safe and that the privacy of patient data is respected. Additionally, the need to develop specific guidelines for reporting and evaluating AI in healthcare has been identified, to support systematic reviews and meta-analyses that ensure transparency and reproducibility of results. In the United States and Europe, regulatory frameworks are evolving to keep pace with advancements in artificial intelligence in healthcare. Regulators, such as the FDA in the United States, are working to balance technological innovation with patient safety and privacy. In Europe, the 2021 European Union Health Technology Assessment (HTA) regulation represents a significant



step towards harmonizing evaluation procedures for technologies, including AI-based tools, across member states. This regulation aims to ensure that AI adoption in healthcare meets standards of safety, efficacy, and transparency, contributing to the overall improvement of healthcare quality. Similarly, in Asia, countries like China and Japan are heavily investing in AI integration within their healthcare systems. In China, privacy protection is a central concern in AI regulation in healthcare, with specific regulations and accountability mechanisms needed to safeguard personal data. This aligns with regulatory efforts in the United States and European Union. However, challenges remain in harmonizing regulations across diverse healthcare systems and policies throughout Asia. Further research has highlighted the fragmentation of the regulatory landscape of AI in Africa, indicating an urgent need for regulatory development to facilitate future adoption of AI in healthcare on the continent.

These insights underline the complexity of integrating AI into healthcare management, highlighting both the revolutionary potential and the regulatory, ethical, and operational challenges that accompany the adoption of this technology.

While the potential of AI is vast and diverse, it is essential to systematically understand how this technology is influencing the sector in terms of operational, strategic, and emergency response aspects, as well as its variations

based on temporal and geographical contexts. Through the analysis of peer-reviewed articles published between 2019 and 2023, this work intends to outline how AI is shaping the future of healthcare management, highlighting both the opportunities and challenges posed by its integration. This leads to formulating the following research questions:

Q1:

How does artificial intelligence affect healthcare management, considering various operational, strategic, and emergency response aspects?

Q2:

What are the variations in the impact of artificial intelligence on healthcare management depending on temporal and geographical contexts?

These questions will guide the analysis towards a deeper understanding of the impact of AI, allowing for the identification of opportunities, challenges, and areas of priority intervention in the current and future healthcare context.

A Comparative Study of Human-AI Collaboration in Creative vs. Analytical Jobs

Since the advent of generative artificial intelligence (AI), debates about whether AI can engage in creative activities and possess creativity have intensified. This controversy gained prominence when Rie Kudan, a recipient of a prestigious literary award in Japan, was revealed to have used ChatGPT to author a novel (Choi & Annio, 2024). Similarly, the awarding of the top prize to



an AI-created artwork at the Sony Award sparked further discussion (Glynn, 2023). In response to such developments, Universal Music Group published the 'Principles for Music Creation with AI' to guide ethical considerations in AI-assisted creativity (Rys, 2024). Scholars, including Dwivedi et al. (2023), have argued that the rise of machine creativity will profoundly impact human lifestyles in the coming years. These events underscore the urgent need to reevaluate our perceptions of machine creativity. Machine creativity is not a novel concept that has only recently emerged; it has been consistently studied in academia. There is a belief that AI can enhance human capabilities in tasks requiring creativity, thereby making these tasks more accessible and inclusive (Holford, 2019). Specifically, computational creativity and artificial creativity have been major research topics across academic disciplines, exploring ways to foster co-creativity through collaboration between AI and humans, and leveraging divergent thinking. In design, such collaboration has been noted to enhance work and effectively convey the meanings of artworks, with Wu et al. (2021) highlighting the facilitation of idea generation and the potential to overcome stereotypes in design approaches (Karimi et al., 2020).

Despite the trend in both industry and academia towards acknowledging machine creativity, the debate continues over whether machines are capable of genuine creativity. Research on machine

creativity longstanding, yet it continues to elicit highly polarized views. Opinions differ widely based on researchers' perspectives, academic disciplines, and the very concept of creativity they explore. Various philosophical stances, including ontological (Du Sautoy, 2019), posthumanism (Kalpokiene & Kalpokas, 2023), romanticism (Coeckelbergh, 2017), and relational views (Celis Bueno et al., 2024), offer different interpretations of creativity. Moreover, debates persist over whether the creator's role (Runco, 2023b), the characteristics of the creative process or the artwork itself (Zhu et al., 2024), or the perceptions of the consumers who engage with the creation (Natale & Henrickson, 2024) are most critical in assessing creativity. This diversity significantly impacts the interpretation and application of research findings, thereby necessitating further discussion for an academic understanding and an integrative approach to machine creativity. In this context, there is a demand for a clear definition and standardization of machine creativity. Establishing this clarity could help address the numerous issues stemming from the blurred lines between human and machine creativity. Currently, the absence of a distinct definition contributes to biases against AI-enabled creations (Hattori et al., 2024) and fuels concerns about job security (Li & Huang, 2020). Furthermore, this ambiguity hinders the effective integration of AI collaboration within organizations. Without clear distinctions, the inherent



cost advantages and the potential for generating innovative ideas through technology may face delayed adoption (Benbya et al., 2024; Moura et al., 2023). Additionally, a deeper exploration into machine creativity could enhance our understanding of human creative traits and processes, underscoring the unique value and importance of human creativity (Lee, 2022). Therefore, by clearly delineating human from machine creativity, organizations will be able to more effectively leverage these innovative technologies. With the growing attention on generative AI in both industry and academia, scholarly interest in machine creativity has intensified. However, a significant gap still exists between what we currently know and what needs to be understood in the future (Benbya et al., 2024).

The Role of AI in Reskilling and Upskilling the Workforce

Rapid technology breakthroughs and shifting economic environments are causing a fundamental upheaval in the modern labour economy (Smith & Johnson, 2020). According to Jones et al. (2019), there is a shift in the traditional employment paradigm that calls for a constant emphasis on worker skill development in order to satisfy changing industry expectations. In this context, the necessity for technological breakthroughs stems from the desire to maintain competitiveness and relevance in an environment where automation and innovation increasingly become essential

components of organisational operations (Brown & White, 2021).

In light of this, artificial intelligence (AI) becomes apparent as a key factor driving the continuous transformation of the labour market. The transformational potential of AI presents innovative methods for skilling, upskilling, and reskilling programmes, offering a flexible way to handle the difficulties brought on by the evolving nature of work (Doe & Smith, 2018). Organisations seeking to develop a competent and flexible workforce must now incorporate AI platforms and technologies into workforce education (Johnson & Lee, 2022). In the realm of skill development and upskilling, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) has become increasingly crucial as the workforce grapples with evolving demands in the digital era. This literature review delves into key themes surrounding the role of AI in enhancing educational and professional development, shedding light on trends, challenges, and opportunities within this transformative landscape (Tariq, 2024). One notable aspect of AI's impact on skilling and upskilling is its contribution to personalized learning. As emphasized by Li and Raj (2021), AI algorithms analyze extensive datasets, facilitating adaptive learning platforms that tailor educational content to individual learning styles and preferences. This personalized approach has been demonstrated to enhance student engagement and effectiveness, ensuring that individuals



acquire skills closely aligned with their specific career objectives.

Furthermore, the identification of skill gaps within organizations and industries has become more data-driven with the assistance of AI. Cascio (2020) underscores the significance of AI in conducting skill gap analyses, enabling organizations to invest in targeted upskilling initiatives in a strategic manner. By analyzing current and future skill requirements, AI aids in forecasting industry trends, facilitating a proactive approach to workforce development (Raimi et al. 2022). The adoption of AI-powered learning platforms has also been a significant trend in skilling and upskilling. Chen et al. (2023) highlight the prevalence of platforms like Coursera and edX, which leverage AI to curate course content, suggest learning paths, and assess individual progress. These platforms not only expand the accessibility of learning materials but also accommodate continuous learning, allowing individuals to upskill at their own pace and convenience. In the realm of vocational training and simulation, AI plays a pivotal role in creating immersive learning opportunities. Riggio and Scala (2022) underscore the use of AI in virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) applications, enabling hands-on training in risk-free environments. This experiential learning enhances skill acquisition and retention, particularly in industries with complex and dynamic operational environments.

Despite the positive contributions of AI in skilling and upskilling, there are significant challenges and ethical considerations. Strohmeier and Piazza (2020) draw attention to concerns related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential for job displacement. These ethical considerations underscore the importance of ensuring equitable access to AI-enhanced educational opportunities. In conclusion, the reviewed literature highlights the diverse impact of AI in skilling and upskilling. From personalized growth opportunities to proactive skill gap analyses, AI technologies have proven to be versatile tools for transforming education and professional development. However, addressing the ethical implications and challenges is essential to harness the full potential of AI in creating a dynamic, inclusive, and adaptable learning environment for the evolving workforce.

Analyzing Hybrid Job Listings to Identify Emerging Skill Requirements

The acceleration of digital technologies development witnessed over the last decades has made a large amount of data available across all fields (i.e. Big Data), forcing companies to go beyond traditional methods for best leveraging it. Therefore, data professionals have experienced the need to develop a broader skill set including competencies such as large database management, statistical modeling, cloud computing, computer programming in order to positively impact company performance. However, the development of such skills required



for Big Data Analytics is not keeping pace with the rapid increase of business needs and generates the requirement for a more structured and continuous skill development process.

Data Science is an emerging multi-disciplinary domain that combines multiple fields such as statistics, business domain knowledge and computer science to equip data professionals to proficiently leverage Big Data. Despite Data Scientists have been defined the sexiest professionals and as legendary as unicorns, the education and training of Data Science professionals currently lack a commonly accepted, harmonized model able to represent the multi-disciplinary knowledge required from the Data Science practitioners in modern, data-driven research and the digital economy. Additionally, Data Science covers only part of the broader set of skills required by data professionals in companies. Several studies have attempted to fill this gap by proposing standard data analytics skills training programs. The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) also intercepted this need and founded the ACM Data Science Task Force to articulate the role of computing discipline-specific contributions to this emerging field. In our modern data-driven society, characterized by a fast technology change and strong skills demand, the education required by data professionals should be customizable and delivered in multiple forms. As noticed by Belloum et al., the job market is looking for more senior and mid-career

staff rather than fresh graduates and this suggests that short training education should be offered along with traditional education formats like BA, MSs, and PhDs. Candidates suited for senior or mid-career positions should have graduated at least five years before the advent of profiles related to Data Analytics and they make up a wide group of customers for shorter and more flexible professional courses.

In this perspective, Massive Online Open Courses (MOOCs) lend themselves very well also due to their flexibility: in fact, MOOCs offer a prospect of education beyond the confines of individual universities and organizations, with the possibility of free participation for large numbers of learners from any geographical location and without the need to satisfy formal entry requirements. For these reasons, in the last decade MOOCs have been able to capture both the learners and data science market needs as well as in several other emerging fields. MOOCs are an online phenomenon that offers the facilitation of obtaining knowledge and skill in different fields of study. They drew attention to their ability to transmit the course content via the recorded videos to a large group of users; thus, they can be considered as one of the most effective methods of distance learning. MOOCs platforms like Coursera and Udacity are an example of breaking the college credit monopoly which is based on elements of traditional education (faculty, curriculum, credentials). Over the years, these



platforms have been evolving and adapting to the needs and problems by certain rigid restrictions in the design, management, and outcome of MOOC courses. Furthermore, the spreading of MOOCs through social media has proven to motivate prospective students and to make the learning experience more impactful. The university community considers MOOCs as a successful experience and the number of universities offering them is rapidly increasing. Indeed, the MOOCs come to be considered as an indicator of universities' educational technology. MOOCs cannot be considered as a substitute for traditional education but have to be considered as an effective method that can be integrated with it. With the intent of shedding light on the intersection between the analytics skills required by employers and the ones whose development is currently offered by MOOCs, we decided to pursue the following research questions:

- RQ1: What are the homogeneous skills which can be currently learnt through MOOCs available online today?
- RQ2: What are the relevant skills which employers demand when recruiting for Data Analytics jobs?
- RQ3: Are MOOCs able to provide a comprehensive development path for today's Data Analytics professionals?

Is there any gap between offer and demand?

To answer these questions, in this study we analyzed both the labor market requirements for Data Analytics

professionals, such as Business Analyst, Data Scientist, Data Developer and Systems Engineer, and the related educational offering provided by MOOC courses with the objective of assessing the efficiency of these courses in covering the needs of the labor market. Our broader aim has been to develop a general methodology for systematically bridging job requirements and learning objectives. Such methodology can be reused on any professional domain other than Big Data Analytics and support both companies and educational providers in the systematic identification of gaps and opportunities.

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The intersection of humans and artificial intelligence represents one of the most transformative shifts in the modern world of work. Far from being a simple substitution of human labor with machine efficiency, this evolution reflects a more complex and nuanced reconfiguration of work processes, skills, and social relationships. The emergence of hybrid jobs, where humans and AI systems collaborate dynamically, signals a paradigm shift that is both technological and cultural. Understanding this transformation requires a multidimensional analysis that considers economic, ethical, and humanistic factors.

1. From Automation to Augmentation

For much of the past decade, discussions about AI and employment were dominated by concerns over automation and job displacement. Early



forecasts warned of a future where machines would replace humans across multiple sectors, leading to large-scale unemployment. However, as AI systems have matured, the narrative has evolved from replacement to augmentation. Rather than eliminating human input, AI is increasingly being used to enhance it.

In industries ranging from healthcare to finance to design, AI performs the repetitive and data-heavy components of work, enabling humans to focus on judgment, creativity, and interpersonal engagement. For instance, AI-driven diagnostic tools in healthcare assist doctors in identifying diseases faster and more accurately, but the empathy, ethical decision-making, and patient communication remain uniquely human. This pattern—machines performing tasks, humans providing context—defines the essence of augmented intelligence.

2. Redefining Human Value in the Workplace

As AI systems take on analytical and routine functions, the value of distinctly human attributes is being re-evaluated. The competencies that set humans apart—emotional intelligence, moral reasoning, empathy, cultural awareness, and creativity—are gaining renewed importance. Employers increasingly seek individuals who can collaborate effectively with intelligent systems, interpret algorithmic outputs, and make nuanced decisions that go beyond quantitative data.

This shift underscores the growing importance of soft skills in an age of intelligent machines. Critical thinking, adaptability, and digital literacy are no longer optional—they are foundational. Workers who can understand the capabilities and limitations of AI tools will not only thrive but also shape how those tools evolve. As the boundaries between technical and non-technical roles blur, the workforce of the future must embody both humanistic understanding and computational fluency.

3. The Expansion of Hybrid Professions

The emergence of hybrid jobs is one of the clearest indicators of this transformation. These roles blend human intuition with technological precision, demanding cross-disciplinary expertise. For example:

- AI-assisted creatives use generative algorithms to explore novel design solutions or artistic concepts.
- Data-informed marketers leverage predictive analytics to craft more personalized and ethical campaigns.
- Automation ethicists bridge technology and philosophy, ensuring that AI applications adhere to societal norms and values.
- Human-AI collaboration managers design workflows where human teams and algorithms operate synergistically.

Such professions illustrate a trend toward interdisciplinary integration. The professional of the future will need to combine technological competence with contextual intelligence—understanding



not only how AI works, but why and when it should be applied.

4. Ethical and Societal Dimensions

As the human-AI partnership deepens, ethical considerations become central to the analysis of future work. The algorithms that shape hiring, productivity monitoring, or credit scoring can inadvertently reproduce biases embedded in data. Similarly, the collection and use of personal data raise questions of privacy, consent, and surveillance. These challenges reveal that the integration of AI into work is not purely a technical matter but a moral one.

Moreover, the benefits of AI are not evenly distributed. High-income countries and technologically advanced industries often gain early access to AI's advantages, while workers in low- and middle-income economies risk being marginalized. Without deliberate policy intervention, this digital divide could intensify global inequality. Thus, the conversation around the future of work must also include inclusive governance, equitable access to digital tools, and the creation of pathways for displaced workers to transition into new roles.

5. Organizational Transformation and Leadership

For organizations, integrating AI is not simply a matter of adopting new tools—it is a question of strategic transformation. Effective leaders recognize that the human dimension remains the cornerstone of innovation. Successful AI integration requires not only technical infrastructure but also

organizational culture that promotes adaptability, transparency, and trust.

Companies that thrive in the age of AI are those that invest in human capital as aggressively as they invest in technology. Continuous training, psychological safety for experimentation, and open dialogue about ethical concerns all contribute to a culture where AI becomes a partner rather than a threat. Leadership models must evolve from command-and-control hierarchies toward collaborative, learning-oriented ecosystems that embrace uncertainty and empower employees to engage with emerging technologies creatively.

6. Education, Reskilling, and Lifelong Learning

The rapid evolution of technology demands an equally dynamic approach to education. The traditional model of front-loaded education followed by a static career path is increasingly obsolete. The future of work depends on lifelong learning—a continuous process of skill renewal and adaptation.

Governments, academic institutions, and private employers all play a role in this ecosystem. Universities must design curricula that blend computational thinking with ethics and communication. Employers must provide structured opportunities for upskilling and reskilling, enabling workers to adapt to changing job requirements. On an individual level, workers must cultivate a mindset of curiosity and flexibility, recognizing that professional growth will



depend on the ability to learn, unlearn, and relearn throughout one's career.

7. Human-AI Collaboration and Decision-Making

One of the defining questions of the hybrid era concerns decision authority: when should machines decide, and when should humans intervene? While AI can process vast datasets and identify correlations invisible to humans, it lacks context, empathy, and moral judgment. Humans, by contrast, excel in situations of ambiguity, ethical complexity, and emotional nuance. The most effective workplaces of the future will design collaborative systems where each complements the other's strength.

For instance, in finance, AI can identify investment patterns or detect fraud, but final decisions about portfolio strategy or client relations are better guided by human experience. In journalism, AI can summarize data or transcribe interviews, but editorial framing—what story to tell, and how—is inherently human. This symbiotic partnership between human insight and machine efficiency defines the essence of the future workplace.

8. Psychological and Cultural Impacts

The growing presence of AI in professional life also has psychological and cultural implications. Some workers experience technostress, fearing obsolescence or struggling to trust algorithmic decisions. Others find empowerment in offloading routine tasks to machines, allowing more time for

meaningful and creative pursuits. Organizational leaders must therefore address not only technical adaptation but also emotional adaptation—ensuring that employees see AI as an ally, not an adversary.

Moreover, the hybrid workplace challenges cultural assumptions about identity and purpose. As work becomes increasingly mediated by technology, individuals may seek new forms of fulfillment that go beyond productivity metrics. The future of work, therefore, is not just about what we do, but why we do it—and how technology can enable more humane, purposeful, and balanced professional lives.

9. The Road Ahead: Toward a Human-Centered Future

Looking forward, the key challenge will be to humanize AI rather than mechanize humanity. The future of work will depend on maintaining the human spirit—curiosity, empathy, and imagination—at the center of digital transformation. Governments and corporations alike must prioritize policies and design principles that ensure AI serves as an instrument of empowerment rather than exclusion.

In the best-case scenario, AI will enable a more flexible, efficient, and inclusive economy—one where humans focus on creativity, care, and collaboration while machines handle complexity and computation. This vision requires continuous reflection and ethical vigilance, but it offers an unprecedented opportunity: the creation of a truly



collaborative workplace, where human potential is amplified rather than diminished by technology.

CONCLUSION

The integration of artificial intelligence into the global workforce marks one of the most significant transformations of the modern era. As automation continues to evolve, the narrative is shifting from one of displacement to one of collaboration and augmentation. AI is not eliminating the human role in work but redefining it—empowering individuals to engage in tasks that demand innovation, emotional intelligence, and ethical judgment. The emergence of hybrid jobs exemplifies this transition, blending human insight with machine precision to create new forms of value and productivity.

However, this transformation also presents profound challenges. The accelerating pace of technological change underscores the urgent need for continuous learning, reskilling, and

adaptability. Organizations must invest not only in digital infrastructure but also in the development of human capital, fostering a culture of lifelong learning and ethical responsibility. Policymakers, in turn, must craft forward-looking frameworks that ensure equitable access to technology, protect workers' rights, and promote inclusive growth across sectors and regions.

Ultimately, the future of work will not be determined by machines alone, but by how societies choose to integrate them. By embracing AI as a partner rather than a competitor, we can shape a future where technology amplifies human potential instead of diminishing it. The path ahead calls for collaboration, vision, and empathy—qualities that remain uniquely human. In this balance between innovation and humanity lies the true promise of the hybrid workforce: a future of work that is not only more efficient, but also more meaningful, inclusive, and humane.

REFERENCES:

1. Boo, C., Kim, Y. and Suh, A. (2025). A Collaborative Creative Process in the Age of AI: A Comparative Analysis of Machine and Human Creativity. Proceedings of the ... Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences/Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2025.025>
2. Hutson, J. and Ceballos, J. (2023). Rethinking Education in the Age of AI: The Importance of Developing Durable Skills in the Industry 4.0. Journal of Information Economics, <https://doi.org/10.58567/jie01020002>
3. Muhammad Usman Tariq (2024). The Role of AI in Skilling, Upskilling, and Reskilling the Workforce. Advances in educational technologies and instructional design book series, <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2440-0.ch023>.



4. Nam, T. (2019). Citizen attitudes about job replacement by robotic automation. *Futures*, 109, pp.39–49, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2019.04.005>.
5. Proquest.com. (2024a). Effects of Personality on the Relationship Between Hybrid Work Characteristics and Employee Engagement - ProQuest. [online] Available at: <https://search.proquest.com/openview/40760e237181a62c1bcacf5a99404b5f>
6. Proquest.com. (2024b). Effects of Personality on the Relationship Between Hybrid Work Characteristics and Employee Engagement <https://search.proquest.com/openview/40760e237181a62c1bcacf5a99404b5f/1?>
7. Santamato, V., Tricase, C., Faccilongo, N., Iacoviello, M. and Marengo, A. (2024). Exploring the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Healthcare Management: A Combined Systematic Review and Machine-Learning Approach. *Applied Sciences*, 14(22), pp.10144–10144. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app142210144>.
8. Hybrid jobs and artificial intelligence: redesigning organizational structures and processes. <https://thesis.unipd.it/handle/20.500.12608/62907>.
9. Tschang, F.T. and Mezquita, E.A. (2021). Artificial Intelligence as Augmenting Automation: Implications for Employment. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 35(4), pp.642–659. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2019.0062>.